

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5158 P S SB	Title: Call location info/emergency	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
---------------------------------	--	---

Part I: Estimates



No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Tim Ford	Phone: 786-7423	Date: 02/10/2015
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 02/11/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/11/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 5158 PSSB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

A new section would be added to RCW 80.36 that would direct a law enforcement agency to not provide call location to a person that the agency reasonable believes has a history of domestic violence or stalking. A wireless telecommunications provider may establish protocols for voluntary disclosure to law enforcement. Law enforcement requests for call location information are limited to emergency situations and no other investigations. Law enforcement must also check for any court order restricting contact. The state emergency management division E911 office must maintain a database of emergency contact information.

This legislation is not expected to cause any judicial impact to AOC or to the courts.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact